1	Eric C. Rassbach (CA SBN 288041)		
$_2$	Mark L. Rienzi (DC Bar No. 494336)*		
3	Daniel L. Chen (CA SBN 312576)		
	Laura Wolk Slavis (DC Bar No. 1645) Jordan T. Varberg (DC Bar No. 9002)	•	
$4 \mid$	Amanda G. Dixon (DC Bar No. 90021498)*		
5	Reed M. Bartley (TX Bar No. 24125115)* ‡		
6	The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty		
7	1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006		
8	Washington, DC 20006 202-955-0095 tel. / 202-955-0090 fax		
9	erassbach@becketfund.org		
10	Paul D. Clement (DC Bar No. 433215)*		
	Erin E. Murphy (DC Bar No. 995953)*		
11	Matthew D. Rowen (CA SBN 292292)		
12	Clement & Murphy, PLLC 706 Duke Street		
13	Alexandria, VA 22314		
14	Elliot Moskowitz (NY Bar No. 4039160)*		
15	Marc J. Tobak (NY Bar No. 4717336)*		
16	Adam M. Greene (NY Bar No. 58121	69)*	
17	Davis Folk & wardwell LLF		
18	New York, NY 10017		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
20			
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	CENTRAL DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
22	YITZCHOK FRANKEL et al.,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-04702	
23	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF ADAM M	
24	v.	GREENE IN SUPPORT OF JOINT STIPULATION TO	
25	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY	MODIFY CASE SCHEDULE	
26	OF CALIFORNIA et al.,	Judge: Hon. Mark C. Scarsi	
27	Defendants.		

*Admitted $pro\ hac\ vice$. ‡Not admitted to the D.C. Bar; admitted only in Texas. Supervised by licensed D.C. Bar members.

I, Adam M. Greene, declare as follows:

 4

1. I am an associate at Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP and am one of the attorneys representing Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs Yitzchok Frankel, Joshua Ghayoum, Eden Shemuelian, and Dr. Kamran Shamsa ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Michael V. Drake, Gene D. Block, Darnell Hunt, Michael Beck, Monroe Gorden, Jr., Rich Braziel, and the Regents of the University of California¹ ("Defendants," and together with "Plaintiffs," the "Parties") Joint Stipulation to Modify Case Schedule. I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration.

- 2. The Court entered a Schedule of Pretrial and Trial Dates (the "Case Schedule") on December 18, 2024, (ECF No. 114 at 3).
 - 3. The Case Schedule included the following dates and deadlines:

Case Deadline	Existing Schedule
Non-expert discovery cut-off	8/4/25
Deadline to file Rule 56 motions	8/4/25
Expert disclosure (initial)	8/18/25
Expert disclosure (rebuttal)	8/25/25
Expert discovery cut-off	9/8/25
Last day to hear motions	9/8/25
Trial filings (first round)	11/10/25
Trial filings (second round)	11/17/25

¹ By operation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), Julio Frenk and Steve Lurie have been substituted into the matter in their official capacities as Chancellor of the University of California Los Angeles and Assistant Vice Chancellor of the University of California Los Angeles, respectively. The roles were previously held by Mr. Block and Mr. Braziel.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Final Pretrial Conference	12/1/25
Jury Trial	12/16/25

- The parties have been actively engaged in fact discovery, including the service of substantial productions of documents, communications, and other electronically stored information.
- 5. Recent rulings by Magistrate Judge Donahue at a June 4, 2024 discovery conference and subsequent compromises resolving disputes among the Parties as to the scope of document discovery have necessitated that the parties engage in the ongoing collection, review, and production of a significant volume of additional material.
- 6. The Parties agree that it would be most productive to commence depositions after additional material is produced.
- 7. The Parties are simultaneously engaging cooperatively in the scheduling of depositions.
- 8. Certain scheduling difficulties have arisen given that the individuals that the Parties intend to depose include a professor and an undergraduate student traveling abroad during the summer recess, two law students actively studying for the California bar exam to be administered on July 29, 2025 and July 30, 2025, and individuals no longer subject to Defendants' control.
- 9. The existing Case Schedule sets the non-expert discovery cutoff on the same day as the deadline to file Rule 56 motions and prior to all deadlines for expert disclosures, meaning that the Parties would have little to no time to incorporate information obtained towards the close of fact discovery into their Rule 56 motions and would be unable to rely on expert disclosures in such motions.

- 10. The Parties agree that it would aid in the adjudication of this matter, including by facilitating the filing of Rule 56 motions capable of resolving the maximal number of issues prior to trial, if the Case Schedule were modified to (i) allow a modest amount of additional time for the Parties to complete fact depositions with the benefit of additional to-be-produced document discovery and (ii) slightly extend the deadline to file Rule 56 motions to allow adequate time for incorporation into Rule 56 motions of both information obtained in fact discovery and expert disclosure.
- 11. Good cause exists to amend the Case Schedule as requested in the accompany Joint Stipulation to Modify Case Schedule.
- 12. The Parties have made no previous requests for continuances of any dates contained in the Case Schedule.
- 13. The schedule proposed in the accompanying Joint Stipulation to Modify Case Schedule is not intended to delay the proceedings, requires no alterations to the Court's existing trial-related dates, and will not prejudice any party.
- 14. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 2nd day of July, 2025, at New York, New York,

<u>/s/ Adam M. Greene</u> Adam M. Greene